

**MINUTES of the proceedings at a Meeting of the DEVELOPMENT & MARKET COMMITTEE held at Fakenham Connect, Oak Street, Fakenham on MONDAY 17 FEBRUARY 2020 at 5:30 pm**

**PRESENT:**

Councillor T Duffy (Chairman Presiding)

Cllrs: G Acheson, J Rockett, J Holdom, D Andrews and G Thorpe

Town Clerk: L Jennings

Public: None

		<b>Action (initials)</b>
<b>AGENDA</b>		
<b>182</b>	<b>APOLOGIES</b> Apologies were received and accepted from Cllrs Footse, Hannon and Campbell.	
<b>183</b>	<b>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</b> Cllr Rockett declared a non-pecuniary interest in item 6 on the agenda	
<b>184</b>	<b>MINUTES</b> The Minutes of the meeting held on 3 February 2020, having been circulated, were on the Proposition of Cllr Acheson, seconded by Cllr Duffy, AGREED and signed by the Chairman.	
<b>185</b>	<b>MATTERS ARISING</b> Minute 179 – The Town Council has responded and their comments have been accepted.	
<b>186</b>	<b>ELECTORS QUESTIONS</b> There were no Electors questions	
<b>187</b>	<p><b>TO CONSIDER APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION</b></p> <p>PA 4384 (PF/19/1890) – Construction of temporary off site car park (until May 2021) associated with the Brick Kiln Farm Development site to the North East, for subcontractors working on the aforementioned site – Land to west of Rudham Stile Lane/Grove Lane junction, Rudham Stile Lane, NR21 8RJ. NNDC case officer: Alastair Curran  <b>At the D &amp; M meeting on 9 December 2019 Councillors offered no objection but commented that the entry and exit point is not the best place regarding the highway and safety.</b>  <b>Amended plans can be viewed on the website.</b>  <b>Councillors offered no objection or comment.</b></p> <p>PA4397 (PF20/0185) – Two storey front extension with additional side window – 10 North Park, NR21 9RQ. NNDC case officer: Bruno Fraga da Costa.  <b>Councillors offered no objection or comment.</b></p> <p>PA 4399 (PF/20/192) – Single-storey extension to detached residential annexe – 31 Caslon Close, NR21 9DL. NNDC case officer: Bruno Fraga da Costa.  <b>Councillors offered no objection but would comment that previous planning conditions are adhered to.</b></p>	

